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Latin verses are based on prosody, that is the syllable quantity, or duration (long, short or common), which in turn defines metrical feet.

## Latin verses

Prosody, metrical feet
For example, the foot called dactyl consists in one long syllable followed by two short.

The quantity of a syllable is determined by the quantity of its vowel.

Large parts of the Latin literature are written in verse:

- Virgil's Æneid
- Ovid's Metamorphoses
- etc.


## Scansion

Feet, cæsura

Scansion of a Latin verse is about identifying feet.
This requires evaluating the quantity of the verse syllables.
To this end, diacritical marks are used:

- a breve (") denotes a short vowel,
- a macron ( ${ }^{-}$) a long one, and
- both diacritics for a common syllable.

A verse is usually split into two with a cæsura.
While feet are conventionally separated by vertical bars (|), the cæsura is often materialized with a double vertical bar (\|).

Other symbols are used for elision and hiatus.

## The case of LaTeX

Single and multiple diacritics

No issue when dealing with a single diacritical mark for one character.

Both accented Unicode characters (e.g. U+0103 ă, U+0100 Ā) and letters manually marked with the commands $¥ u$ (breve), $¥=$ (macron) (e.g. $¥ u\{a\}, ¥=A$ ) are correctly rendered.

On the other hand, the stacking of several diacritical marks atop one letter remains difficult.

For instance, $¥ u\{¥=a\}$ is of no avail as it produces "ā.

Several packages are here to help: tipa, stackengine, etc.

## The case of LaTeX

Diphthongs
The rendering of $æ$ and $æ$ is acceptable: $\bar{\mp}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}$.
Yet, the duration of the æ diphthong can be short: the corresponding characters are rendered as expected.

It is however more difficult to add a diacritical mark above the other diphthongs, such as au and eu.
 bar is this time slightly too long and is, more or less depending on the font, too close to letters.

While the former issue can be addressed with $¥ m k e r n$, the latter remains.

Support for diacritical marks, both single and multiple, strongly depends on the selected font, and this can rapidly become problematic: the desired font may not support them.

## The case of LuaLaTeX

Assuming a font that is aware of such diacritical issues has been selected (e.g. Noto), LuaLaTeX brings significant advantages over LaTeX as far as we are concerned:

- It enables multiple diacritical marks on a character.
- The macron over diphthongs can be further improved: width and vertical gap can be adjusted.


## About Unicode

Unicode does provide glyphs that could be helpful for our purpose. We did some experiments with Noto Serif:

1. The Combining overline glyph (U+0305), whose purpose is to render a continuous line above several letters.
Unsatisfactory: the two overlines are not combined at all.
2. The Combining double macron glyph (U+035E). Unsatisfactory: although spanning both letters, the macron is oddly positioned and too short.
3. The Combining double breve glyph (U+035D), whose purpose is to add a breve above two letters. Satisfactory.
4. And a few others (refer to the TUG article).

## Summary

Regarding LaTeX, the support of single diacritical marks applied to a single letter is fully satisfactory.

For "native" diphthongs such as æ and œ, macrons and breves are rendered as expected. Regarding other diphthongs, like au and eu, simple commands could do but results vary.

The most problematic issue arises from double diacritical marks: their support requires an additional package.

Regarding LuaLaTeX, Unicode provides useful features for typesetting Latin verse scansion. However, their support strongly depends on fonts.

## Epilogue

Sample Latin verses with scansion
ille pedum melior motu fretusque iuventa, īllĕ pĕ|dūm mělĭ|ōr || mō|tū frē|tūsquĕ jŭ|vēntā, hic membris et mole valens; sed tarda trementi hīc mēm|brīs ēt | mōlĕ vă|lēns; || sēd | tārdă trĕ|mēntī genua labant, vastos quatit aeger anhelitus artus. gēnŭa lă|bānt, vās|tōs || quătĭt | $\bar{æ} g e ̆ r ~ a ̆ n|h e ̄ l i ̆ t u ̆ s ~| ~ a ̄ r t u ̄ s . ~$
multa viri nequiquam inter se vulnera iactant, mūltă vī|rī nē|quīquam\|̄̄̄n|tēr sē | vūlnĕră | jāctānt,
(Virgil, Æneid, book v, lines 430-433)

